



| | |
|--------------|--|
| Title | IAEA consultancy meeting on low-dose radiation for patients and population |
| Author(s) | Murakami, Michio; Osuga, Kenichi; Ohto, Hitoshi; Kamiya, Kenji; Saito, Kiyoshi; Scholz, Uwe; Abdel-Wahab, May |
| Citation | Fukushima Journal of Medical Science. 67(2): 89-93 |
| Issue Date | 2021 |
| URL | http://ir.fmu.ac.jp/dspace/handle/123456789/1589 |
| Rights | © 2021 The Fukushima Society of Medical Science. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons [Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International] license. |
| DOI | 10.5387/fms.2021-21 |
| Text Version | publisher |



IAEA consultancy meeting on low-dose radiation for patients and population

Michio Murakami¹⁾, Kenichi Osuga¹⁾, Hitoshi Ohto¹⁾, Kenji Kamiya¹⁾, Kiyoshi Saito²⁾,
Uwe Scholz³⁾ and May Abdel-Wahab⁴⁾

¹⁾Radiation Medical Science Center for the Fukushima Health Management Survey, Fukushima Medical University, Fukushima, Japan, ²⁾Fukushima Global Medical Science Center, Fukushima Medical University, Fukushima, Japan, ³⁾International Advisor Technical Cooperation, Germany, ⁴⁾Division of Human Health, International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna, Austria

(Received June 29, 2021)

Abstract

This paper reports on the IAEA's Consultancy Meeting on "low-dose radiation for patients and population—Science, Technology and Society (STS) concepts for communication and perception among medical doctors and stakeholders—", which was held on October 21 and 22, 2020. The meeting consisted of seven presentation sessions, with a total of 27 presentations and 39 participants from seven countries. The meeting focused on various areas including environmental, food, and personal dosimetry; radiation and other secondary health effects after nuclear disasters; communication between medical professionals and patients or residents; and medical education on nuclear accidents. This meeting was convened to discuss STS perspectives related to nuclear emergencies, to share the findings of the Fukushima Health Management Survey and the current situation in Fukushima with international experts. The meeting confirmed the importance of coordinated recovery of affected areas and global preparedness in the aftermath of nuclear accidents.

Key words : Education, Fukushima Health Management Survey, IAEA, Science, Technology and Society

Since December 2012, the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) and Fukushima Medical University (FMU) have concluded Practical Arrangements on collaborative cooperation. The IAEA and FMU have been holding international meetings several times a year and prepared publications on medical education addressing various issues related to nuclear emergencies, including socio-scientific and technological issues, communication, and education in the setting of low radiation doses¹⁻⁴⁾. Domestic and international researchers have been involved and shared the findings of the Fukushima Health Management Survey⁵⁾ as well as updates on the current situation in Fukushima. Within this framework, the IAEA's Consultancy Meeting on "Low-dose radiation for patients and population

—Science, Technology and Society (STS) concepts for communication and perception among medical doctors and stakeholders—" was held on October 21 and 22, 2020. This paper reports on the meeting.

In the past, the meetings were held face-to-face, but due to the global outbreak of COVID-19, this meeting was held online, jointly organized by FMU and the IAEA. The presentation time was shortened in account of the time difference between countries. Infection control measures were thoroughly implemented at the FMU meeting hall, where many participants of FMU convened for the meeting. Sufficient time for discussion was allocated.

The presentations consisted of seven sessions (four on the first day and three on the second day),

Corresponding author : Michio Murakami E-mail : michio@fmu.ac.jp

©2021 The Fukushima Society of Medical Science. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons [Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International] license.
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/>

Table 1. Presenters, affiliations, and presentation titles. The affiliations are as of the time of the meeting.

| Presenter | Affiliation | Presentation title |
|---|--|--|
| Opening | | |
| Hitoshi Ohto | FMU, Japan | Opening remarks |
| May Abdel-Wahab | IAEA | Opening remarks |
| Session 1 | | |
| STS Communication addressing low-dose radiation : | The future (Chair : May Abdel-Wahab and Kenji Kamiya) | |
| Masaharu Maeda | FMU, Japan | Mental health issues after Fukushima disaster : Depression, risk perception and stigmatization |
| Hiroko Yoshida | Tohoku University, Japan | Toward improved communication and engagement on low-dose radiation risk with the general population |
| Dimitry Bazyka | National Research Center for Radiation Medicine of the Academy of Medical Sciences of Ukraine, Ukraine | Experience of implementation of the International Chernobyl Research and Information Network (ICRIN) project in Ukraine |
| Toyoaki Sawano | FMU, Japan | Lesson learnt from Fukushima disaster for nuclear disaster preparedness for vulnerable population |
| Session 2 | | |
| The role of radiation in medicine education : | The way forward (Chair : Michio Murakami and Uwe Scholz) | |
| Kenneth Nollet | FMU, Japan | Following Fukushima, following COVID : Leading education |
| Atsushi Kumagai | National Institutes for Quantum and Radiological Science and Technology, Japan | We need medical professionals to take ownership of their learning |
| Greg Clancey | Science, Technology, and Society (STS) Cluster, Asia Research Institute (ARI), National University of Singapore (NUS), USA | Dealing with the uncertainties around low-dose radiation in health-care education from an STS perspective |
| Samy El-Badawy | Department of Radiation Oncology, National Cancer Institute, Egypt | Radiation medicine education during emergencies |
| Chryzel Angelica B. Gonzales | Hiroshima University, Japan | Connecting the boundaries : The importance of radiation education to different professionals and members of the public |
| Session 3A | | |
| Challenges encountered by medical professionals with the returning population | “The Fukushima Perspective” (Chair : May Abdel-Wahab and Tomoaki Tamaki) | |
| Takashi Ohba | FMU, Japan | In hand, on hand : A new eHealth application with radiation records to connect returning evacuees to human services after a radiation accident |
| Noboru Takamura | Nagasaki University, Japan | Recovery efforts of the community after the nuclear disaster in Fukushima |
| Michiaki Kai | Oita University of Nursing and Health Sciences, Japan | 10 years of radiological protection after the Fukushima — Need to share what radiological criteria are |
| Momo Takada | National Institutes for Quantum and Radiological Science and Technology/ National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology, Japan | Challenges with local residents in Yamakiya toward resumption of Satoyama usage |

| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Session 3B Challenges encountered by medical professionals with patients exposed to medical radiation : The experiences of FMU medical doctors (Chair : Kiyoshi Saito and Uwe Scholz) | | | |
| May Abdel-Wahab | IAEA | Doctor-patient communication | |
| Tomoaki Tanaki | FMU, Japan | Dose and effect of radiation exposure for medical purposes | |
| Satoshi Tashiro | Hiroshima University, Japan | Biological effect of low-dose medical radiation | |
| Takuya Nakamura | Nagasaki University, Japan | How to face medical radiation as radiological technologist – Experiencing the changes after the Fukushima nuclear accident | |
| Session 3C Challenges encountered by professionals with the returning population : Agriculture, food, fisheries and environment (Chair : Masaharu Tsubokura and Uwe Scholz) | | | |
| Tatsuo Aono and Yutaka Yamada | National Institutes for Quantum and Radiological Science and Technology, Japan | Estimation of internal exposure dose from diet in daily life | |
| Kimiki Saito | IAEA, Japan | Temporal change of air dose rates in living environment | |
| Aldo Malavasi | SBPC (Brazilian Society for Advancement of Science), Brazil | Contamination of agricultural products after a nuclear accident | |
| Mai Takagi | National Institute for Environmental Studies, Japan | Preserving traditional food cultures in 'Satoyama' — Radiation dose from edible wild plants and mushrooms | |
| Session 4 Establishing appropriate dissemination approaches (Chair : May Abdel-Wahab and Seiji Yasumura) | | | |
| Michio Murakami | FMU, Japan | Perspectives for risk perception studies after the Fukushima nuclear disaster | |
| Masaharu Tsubokura | FMU, Japan | Overviews of secondary health issues after the Fukushima incident | |
| Koichi Tanigawa | Futaba Medical Center, Japan | How do we leave the lessons learned from the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant accident ? | |
| Session 5 Plan for potential future activities (Chair : Arifumi Hasegawa and Kenneth Nollet) | | | |
| Uwe Scholz | International Advisor Technical Cooperation, Germany | Opportunities for STS concepts and risk communications regarding low-dose radiation in medical education and training | |
| Kenji Kamiya | FMU/ Hiroshima University, Japan | Strategic planning for risk communication of low dose radiation in the context of STS | |
| Kiyoshi Saito | FMU, Japan | Plan of FMU activities to support education in radiation health risk science | |
| Closing | | | |
| Kiyoshi Saito | FMU, Japan | Closing remarks | |
| May Abdel-Wahab | IAEA | Closing remarks | |

with a total of 27 presentations (Table 1). Of these, five presentations were by young researchers.

Session 1, “STS Communication addressing low-dose radiation : The future,” consisted of four presentations by Masaharu Maeda, Hiroko Yoshida, Dmitry Bazyka, and Toyoaki Sawano. The speakers addressed mental health, communication with the community, information network activities, and issues around vulnerable people evacuated after the nuclear accident. The participants also discussed the issues of risk perception after nuclear disasters, the significance of summarizing scientific findings as a unified message, and the evacuation process in natural and nuclear accidents.

In Session 2, “The role of radiation in medicine education : The way forward,” Kenneth Nollet, Atsushi Kumagai, Greg Clancey, Samy El-Badawy, and Chryzel Angelica B. Gonzales gave presentations. The presenters introduced the state of education after the Fukushima accident and amid COVID-19 pandemic, the methodology of radiation education using active discussion among participants, the significance and examples of medical education on low-dose radiation protection, the implementation of radiation medicine education during the COVID-19 pandemic, and the importance of radiation education for multiple professions and the public. The discussion focused on the effects of radiation education for medical personnel, the significance of patient and public education, and the duplication of educational initiatives.

Session 3A, entitled “Challenges encountered by medical professionals with the returning population ‘The Fukushima Perspective,’” consisted of four presentations, done by Takashi Ohba, Noboru Takamura, Michiaki Kai, and Momo Takada. They introduced the development of electronic applications for returnees, community recovery activities in the affected areas, updates on radiation protection in the decade after the Fukushima accident, and collaborative activities with local residents for the use of *satoyama* (community-based forests) in the affected areas. Discussions were held on the characteristics and commonalities between crisis communication and risk communication, the uncertainty of the linear no-threshold model and its impact on dialogue with residents, the benefits of dialogue with residents for researchers, and the significance of providing information to returning residents.

Session 3B, “Challenges encountered by medical professionals with patients exposed to medical radiation : The experiences of FMU medical doctors,” was presented by May Abdel-Wahab, Tomoaki

Tamaki, Satoshi Tashiro, and Takuya Nakamura. They introduced the factors that should be considered in communication between doctors and patients, the dose and effects of radiation exposure for medical purposes, the biological effects of low-dose medical treatment such as Computed Tomography scans, and the post-disaster experience of how radiologists should deal with medical radiation. There were discussions on how to explain the advantages and disadvantages of medical exposure, and how much detail should be given on and the relevant uncertainties.

In Session 3C, “Challenges encountered by professionals with the returning population : Agriculture, food, fisheries and environment,” Tatsuo Aono and Yutaka Yamada presented insights on internal dose from daily diet after the Fukushima accident. Kimiaki Saito introduced temporal changes in air dose rates in the environment. Aldo Malavasi talked about pathways and countermeasures for crop contamination by radiation. Mai Takagi informed about dose measurements for wild vegetables and mushrooms in *satoyama*. The issues raised during the discussion included the attenuation of cesium concentration in fish and shellfish, measures to reduce cesium concentration in wild vegetables, methods to utilize airborne monitoring, as well as lessons to present information on food monitoring results and difficulties in communicating with residents regarding internal exposure results.

Session 4, “Establishing appropriate dissemination approaches,” consisted of three presentations : Michio Murakami on the perspectives of risk perception research after a nuclear disaster, Masaharu Tsubokura on secondary health effects such as lifestyle-related diseases, and Koichi Tanigawa on the significance of communicating lessons learned from the Fukushima disaster in terms of information, education, culture, and institutions. During the discussion, insights were exchanged on the relationship between risk perception and education, the relationship between return to former evacuation zones and psychological distress, other future potential issues for returnees, and how to effectively allocate resources for future information dissemination.

Session 5, “Plan for potential future activities,” included three presentations. Uwe Scholz presented STS concepts and risk communication in medical education and training. Kenji Kamiya talked about risk communication strategies in the context of STS. Kiyoshi Saito introduced educational activities in health risk science at FMU. The participants discussed several topics including differences in risk

perception among countries, the significance of involving medical personnel as communicators after disasters, and the importance of learning and communicating with the media.

In the end, 39 participants from 7 countries gathered from various fields such as medicine, radiation protection, and social sciences, demonstrating the diversity of this meeting. The areas presented also included environmental, food, and personal dosimetry ; radiation and other secondary health effects after nuclear disasters ; communication between medical professionals and patients or residents ; and medical education on nuclear disasters.

The meeting reaffirmed the significance of bringing together divers international and Japanese experts to share knowledge, and highlighted the importance of coordinated recovery of affected areas and global preparedness in the aftermath of nuclear accidents.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the presenters, participants, and staff who helped with the meeting. This manuscript was supported by the national “Health Fund for Children and Adults Affected by the Nuclear Incident.” The findings and conclusions of this article are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not represent the official views of Fukushima Prefecture Government.

Reference

1. IAEA. Health in disasters : A science and technology studies practicum for medical students and healthcare professionals. Chhem RK, Clancey G (eds), 2016. Available at : https://humanhealth.iaea.org/HHW/Latest/Health_in_Disasters/Handbook_Health_in_Disasters.pdf. Accessed 28 May 2021.
2. Hibbert K, Engle-Hills P, Abdel-Wahab M *et al*. Curriculum as social practice : The case of Fukushima. *European Journal of Curriculum Studies*, **4**(1) : 556-569, 2017.
3. Yasui K, KimuraY, Kamiya K *et al*. Academic responses to Fukushima disaster : Three new radiation disaster curricula. In special issue ‘Fukushima Disaster : Health consequences and responses following the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant accident’ in *Asia Pacific Journal of Public Health*, **29**(2S) : 99S-109S, 2017.
4. Tanigawa K, Lochard J, Abdel-Wahab M, and Crick, MJ. Roles and activities of international organizations the after Fukushima accident. In special edition ‘Fukushima Disaster : Health consequences and responses following the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant accident’ in *Asia Pacific Journal of Public Health*, **29**(2S) : 90S-98S, 2017.
5. Yasumura S, Hosoya M, Yamashita S, *et al*. Study protocol for the Fukushima Health Management Survey. *Journal of Epidemiology*, **22** : 375-383, 2012.